UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,837	08/08/2006	Hitoshi Asahi	52433/859	4507
26646 KENYON & K	7590 09/09/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		VELASQUEZ, VANESSA T		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/588,837	ASAHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vanessa Velasquez	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>08 Au</i>	igust 2006.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the n	nerits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, tolien er teilir i te	.02.				
	nuicuitu undan 25 H.C.C. \$ 440/a)	(d) on (f)					
<i>,</i> —	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
, , ,	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

**Group I**, claims 1-12, drawn to a steel plate and a steel pipe.

**Group II**, claims 13-17, drawn to a method of producing a steel plate and pipe.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Unity exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding claimed technical features. "Special technical features" are defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art (Rule 13.2). A review of JP 2000-212680 demonstrates that the technical feature (i.e., a dual phase steel having a small Bauschinger effect and comprising ferrite, martensite, and the elements in the table below) does not define a

Art Unit: 1793

contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

Element	Claimed Invention	JP 2000-212680
С	0.03-0.30	0.03-0.12
Si	0.01-0.8	0.05-0.30
Mn	0.3-2.5	0.30-2.00
Р	0-0.03	silent
S	0-0.01	silent
Al	0.001-0.1	0.01-0.10
N	0-0.01	0-0.007
Fe & impurities	balance	balance

Phosphorous and sulfur will are assumed to be absent from the composition disclosed in JP 2000-212860 since the reference is silent as to their presence. The microstructure comprises ferrite (para. [0007], [0021]) and may further comprise martensite and bainite for a given cooling rate (para. [0004], [0024]). The steel is highly resistant to the Bauschinger effect (abstract).

Thus, lack of unity becomes apparent *a posteriori*, i.e., after taking the prior art into consideration. Accordingly, the prior art of the record supports the restriction of the claimed subject matter into the aforementioned groups.

Applicant is advised that for the reply to this requirement to be complete, it must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly

Application/Control Number: 10/588,837 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Joint Inventors

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

No claims are allowed. All pending claims are subject to the restriction requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanessa Velasquez whose telephone number is (571)270-3587. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 AM-6:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached at 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/Vanessa Velasquez/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793